

## Learning Graph Distances with Message Passing Neural Networks

Pau Riba, Andreas Fischer\*, Josep Lladós, Alicia Fornés

Computer Vision Center, \*Université de Fribourg

ICPR, Beijing, August 23rd, 2018.





Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Outline

Introduction

#### Related Concepts

#### Architecture

#### Experimental Validation

Datasets Classification Retrieval

### Conclusion and Future Work





Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion



 Increasing relevance in visual object recognition and retrieval, beyond classical pure appearance-based approaches.









Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion



1. Increasing relevance in visual object recognition and retrieval, beyond classical pure appearance-based approaches.











- Increasing relevance in visual object recognition and retrieval, beyond classical pure appearance-based approaches.
- 2. Visual object detection using graphs involves an **inexact subgraph matching formulation**.







- Increasing relevance in visual object recognition and retrieval, beyond classical pure appearance-based approaches.
- 2. Visual object detection using graphs involves an **inexact subgraph matching formulation**.









- Increasing relevance in visual object recognition and retrieval, beyond classical pure appearance-based approaches.
- 2. Visual object detection using graphs involves an **inexact subgraph matching formulation**.
- 3. It is unavoidable in **large scale retrieval** (i.e. subgraph matching).





Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion



 A graph is a powerful representation, both for text and graphics

can can can can





Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion



## Geometric Deep Learning

Extension of Deep Learning techniques to graph/manifold structured data.



Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion



## Geometric Deep Learning

Extension of Deep Learning techniques to graph/manifold structured data.

#### Image:

- Regular grid
- Operations well defined
- ► Same size → batch processing
- 8-neighbourhood

### Graph:

- 4-Tuple  $G = (V, E, L_V, L_E)$
- Operations not efficient
- ► Different size → batch processing
- Different neighbourhood



Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Introduction

## Hypothesis

Local structural node information can be learned by Geometric Deep Learning and exploited by Graph Distance algorithms.

Thus, we avoid a graph embedding that may be difficult to learn.



## **Related Concepts**



Experimental Validation

## Graph Edit Distance

### Definition

Given a set of Graph Edit Operations, the Graph Edit Distance (GED) between two graphs  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  is defined as

$$\mathsf{GED}(g_1, g_2) = \min_{(e_1, \dots, e_k) \in \mathcal{P}(g_1, g_2)} \sum_{i=1}^k c(e_i)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(g_1, g_2)$  denotes the set of edit paths transforming  $g_1$  into  $g_2$  and c(e) is the cost of each edit operation.





Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Graph Edit Distance

### Computation

Exact GED is not feasible in real applications due to its complexity. Several approximations have been proposed.

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger$  Riesen et al., "Approximate graph edit distance computation by means of bipartite graph matching".



<sup>\*</sup> Fischer et al., "Approximation of graph edit distance based on Hausdorff matching".

Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Graph Edit Distance

### Computation

Exact GED is not feasible in real applications due to its complexity. Several approximations have been proposed.

Some approximated algorithms have been proposed.

- Hausdorff Edit Distance  $(HED)^* O(n_1 \cdot n_2)$
- Bipartite Graph Matching  $(BP)^{\dagger} O((n_1 + n_2)^3)$

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger$  Riesen et al., "Approximate graph edit distance computation by means of bipartite graph matching".



<sup>\*</sup> Fischer et al., "Approximation of graph edit distance based on Hausdorff matching".

Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Graph Edit Distance

### Computation

Exact GED is not feasible in real applications due to its complexity. Several approximations have been proposed.

Some approximated algorithms have been proposed.

- Hausdorff Edit Distance  $(HED)^* O(n_1 \cdot n_2)$
- Bipartite Graph Matching  $(BP)^{\dagger} O((n_1 + n_2)^3)$

The usual Graph Edit Operations in the GED computation are:

- Insertion and Deletion (nodes and edges)
- Substitution (nodes and edges)

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger$  Riesen et al., "Approximate graph edit distance computation by means of bipartite graph matching".



<sup>\*</sup> Fischer et al., "Approximation of graph edit distance based on Hausdorff matching".

Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Geometric Deep Learning

Neural Message Passing\*

Message Passing Neural Network (MPNN) is composed of 3 functions:

- Message
- Update
- Readout





<sup>\*</sup> Gilmer et al., "Neural message passing for quantum chemistry".

Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

### Geometric Deep Learning

Neural Message Passing\*

Message

$$m_v^{t+1} = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{N}(v)} M_t(h_v^t, h_w^t, e_{vw})$$



<sup>\*</sup> Gilmer et al., "Neural message passing for quantum chemistry".





Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Geometric Deep Learning

Neural Message Passing\*

Message

$$m_v^{t+1} = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{N}(v)} M_t(h_v^t, h_w^t, e_{vw})$$



#### Example:

$$M_t(h_v^t, h_w^t, e_{vw}) = A(e_{vw})h_w^t$$

where  $A(\cdot)$  is a NN mapping to a  $d \times d$  matrix.



<sup>\*</sup> Gilmer et al., "Neural message passing for quantum chemistry".

Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

### Geometric Deep Learning

Neural Message Passing\*

#### Update

$$h_v^{t+1} = U_t(h_v^t, m_v^{t+1})$$



<sup>\*</sup> Gilmer et al., "Neural message passing for quantum chemistry".





Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Geometric Deep Learning

Neural Message Passing\*

#### Update

$$= U_t(h_v^t,m_v^{t+1})$$



#### Example:

 $h_v^{t+1}$ 

$$U_t(h_v^t, m_v^{t+1}) = GRU(h_v^t, m_v^{t+1})$$

where  $GRU(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a Gated Recurrent Unit.



<sup>\*</sup> Gilmer et al., "Neural message passing for quantum chemistry".

Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

### Geometric Deep Learning

Neural Message Passing\*

#### Readout



 $\hat{y} = R(\{h_v^T | v \in G\})$ 



<sup>\*</sup> Gilmer et al., "Neural message passing for quantum chemistry".

Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

### Geometric Deep Learning

Neural Message Passing\*

#### Readout

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ R(\{h_v | v \in G\}) \end{array}\right]$$

 $\hat{y} = R(\{h_v^T | v \in G\})$ 

#### Example:

$$R(\lbrace h_v^T | v \in G \rbrace) = \sum_{v \in V} \sigma\left(i(h_v^{(T)}, h_v^0)\right) \odot\left(j(h_v^{(T)})\right)$$

where *i* and *j* are NN and  $\odot$  denotes element-wise multiplication.

 $^{\ast}$  Gilmer et al., "Neural message passing for quantum chemistry".



## Architecture



Related

Architecture

Experimental Validatior

Conclusion

## Siamese Architecture







Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Siamese Architecture





Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Siamese Architecture





Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Graph Similarity

Hausdorff Distance-based Similarity

$$H(A,B) = \max\left(\max_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} d(a,b), \max_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} d(a,b)\right)$$

More robust distance

$$\hat{\mathsf{H}}(A,B) = \sum_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} d(a,b) + \sum_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} d(a,b)$$

Proposed distance

$$d(g_1,g_2) = rac{\hat{H}(V_1,V_2)}{|V_1| + |V_2|}$$



#### Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Contrastive Loss

Given  $D_W = d(G_W(g_1), G_W(g_2))$  where  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are graphs and W a set of specific weights W, the **Loss Function** is

$$I(D_W) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} D_W^2, & \text{if } Y = 1 \text{ (positive pair)} \\ \{\max(0, m - D_W)\}^2, & \text{if } Y = 0 \text{ (negative pair)} \end{cases}$$

where m = 1 is the adaptive margin.







## **Experimental Validation**



Experimental Validation 00000

## Datasets

#### Letters

- Classification of Synthetic Graphs
- 15 classes
- 750 graphs per class
- 3 different distortion levels



### George Washington

- Retrieval of Handwritten Words
- Several graph constructions
- 105 keywords
- 4894 instances
- HistoGraph subset for classification

can cam





Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

# Experimental Setup

- k-Nearest Neighbor Classifier
- Accuracy + Standard Deviation (5 runs)
- Tested with well-known Aproximated Graph Edit Distance algorithms





Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion



	LOW	MED	HIGH
BP*	99.73	94.27	89.87
$HED^{\dagger}$	97.87	86.93	79.2
Embedding <sup>‡</sup>	99.80	94.90	92.90
MPNN	95.04 ±0.7224	83.20 ±1.2189	72.27 ±2.0060
Siamese MPNN	$\begin{array}{c} 98.08 \\ \pm \ 0.1068 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{89.0136} \\ \pm \textbf{ 0.1808} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74.77 \\ \pm \ 6.4505 \end{array}$
Test BP	98.19 ±0.1361	88.37 ±0.41	79.65 ±6.4345
Test HED	98.00 ±0.1461	89.79 ±0.3110	77.07 ±5.6106

#### Table: Accuracy $\pm$ Std for 5 runs.

 $^{*}$  Riesen et al., "Approximate graph edit distance computation by means of bipartite graph matching".

<sup>†</sup> Fischer et al., "Approximation of graph edit distance based on Hausdorff matching".

 $^\ddagger$  Gibert et al., "Graph embedding in vector spaces by node attribute statistics".



Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion



#### Table: Classification accuracy for the HistoGraph dataset.

			Siamese MPNN	
Subset	BP*	$PSGE^\dagger$	3-NN	5-NN
Keypoint	77.62	80.42	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{85.31} \\ \pm \textbf{ 1.6552} \end{array}$	82.80 ± 0.5600
Projection	81.82	80.42	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{73.15} \\ \pm \textbf{ 2.6014} \end{array}$	69.65 ± 1.5064

\* Stauffer et al., "A Novel Graph Database for Handwritten Word Images".

 $^\dagger$  Dutta et al., "Pyramidal Stochastic Graphlet Embedding for Document Pattern Classification".



Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Experimental Setup

▶ Mean Average Precision + Standard Deviation (5 runs)

$$\mathrm{mAP} = \frac{\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathrm{AP}(q)}{Q}$$





Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## George Washington

Table: mAP from different approaches on GW dataset.

Method		mAP	
PHOC*		64.00	
BOF HMM <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>		80.00	
DTW	DTW'01	42.26	
	DTW'08	63.39	
	DTW'09	64.80	
	DTW'16	68.64	
Mean Ensemble $BP^{\ddagger}$		69.16	
Siamese MPNN		75.85±3.64	

 $^{*}$  Ghosh et al., "Query by string word spotting based on character bi-gram indexing".

<sup>†</sup> Rothacker et al., "Segmentation-free query-by-string word spotting with bag-of-features HMMs".

 $^{\ddagger}$  Stauffer et al., "Ensembles for Graph-based Keyword Spotting in Historical Handwritten Documents".



## Conclusion and Future Work



Related

Architecture

Experimental Validation

Conclusion

## Final thoughts

### Conclusions

- Enriched graph representation, incorporating the local context
- Fast similarity measure based on the Hausdorff Distance
- It emphasises the structure
- Improvements in real applications

#### Future Work

 To explore uses of graph structures to model relations among several images (each image encoded as a node)



Thank you for your attention!

Pau Riba Computer Vision Center priba@cvc.uab.cat www.cvc.uab.cat/people/priba



Introduction	Related	Architecture	Experimental Validation 000000	Conclusion

