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## Motivation

Graph representations

1. Increasing relevance in visual object recognition and retrieval, beyond classical pure appearance-based approaches.
2. Visual object detection using graphs involves an inexact subgraph matching formulation.
3. It is unavoidable in large scale retrieval (i.e. subgraph matching).


## Motivation

Document Analysis

- A graph is a powerful representation, both for text and graphics
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Geometric Deep Learning
Extension of Deep Learning techniques to graph/manifold structured data.

## Image:

- Regular grid
- Operations well defined
- Same size $\rightarrow$ batch processing
- 8-neighbourhood


## Graph:

- 4-Tuple $G=\left(V, E, L_{V}, L_{E}\right)$
- Operations not efficient
- Different size $\rightarrow$ batch processing
- Different neighbourhood


## Introduction

Hypothesis
Local structural node information can be learned by Geometric Deep Learning and exploited by Graph Distance algorithms.

Thus, we avoid a graph embedding that may be difficult to learn.

## Related Concepts

## Graph Edit Distance

## Definition

Given a set of Graph Edit Operations, the Graph Edit Distance (GED) between two graphs $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{GED}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\min _{\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{P}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} c\left(e_{i}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{P}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ denotes the set of edit paths transforming $g_{1}$ into $g 2$ and $c(e)$ is the cost of each edit operation.


## Graph Edit Distance

Approximated Techniques

## Computation

Exact GED is not feasible in real applications due to its complexity. Several approximations have been proposed.
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## Computation

Exact GED is not feasible in real applications due to its complexity. Several approximations have been proposed.

Some approximated algorithms have been proposed.

- Hausdorff Edit Distance (HED)* $\mathcal{O}\left(n_{1} \cdot n_{2}\right)$
- Bipartite Graph Matching (BP) ${ }^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}\left(\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)^{3}\right)$

The usual Graph Edit Operations in the GED computation are:

- Insertion and Deletion (nodes and edges)
- Substitution (nodes and edges)
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## Geometric Deep Learning

Neural Message Passing*

Message Passing Neural Network (MPNN) is composed of 3 functions:

- Message
- Update
- Readout
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## Message

$$
m_{v}^{t+1}=\sum_{w \in \mathcal{N}(v)} M_{t}\left(h_{v}^{t}, h_{w}^{t}, e_{v w}\right)
$$



## Example:

$$
M_{t}\left(h_{v}^{t}, h_{w}^{t}, e_{v w}\right)=A\left(e_{v w}\right) h_{w}^{t}
$$

where $A(\cdot)$ is a NN mapping to a $d \times d$ matrix.
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Neural Message Passing*

## Update

$$
h_{v}^{t+1}=U_{t}\left(h_{v}^{t}, m_{v}^{t+1}\right)
$$



## Example:

$$
U_{t}\left(h_{v}^{t}, m_{v}^{t+1}\right)=G R U\left(h_{v}^{t}, m_{v}^{t+1}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{GRU}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a Gated Recurrent Unit.
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## Geometric Deep Learning

Neural Message Passing*

## Readout

$$
\hat{y}=R\left(\left\{h_{v}^{T} \mid v \in G\right\}\right)
$$
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## Geometric Deep Learning

Neural Message Passing*

## Readout

$$
\hat{y}=R\left(\left\{h_{v}^{T} \mid v \in G\right\}\right)
$$



## Example:

$$
R\left(\left\{h_{v}^{T} \mid v \in G\right\}\right)=\sum_{v \in V} \sigma\left(i\left(h_{v}^{(T)}, h_{v}^{0}\right)\right) \odot\left(j\left(h_{v}^{(T)}\right)\right)
$$

where $i$ and $j$ are NN and $\odot$ denotes element-wise multiplication.

* Gilmer et al., "Neural message passing for quantum chemistry".


## Architecture

## Siamese Architecture
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## Graph Similarity

- Hausdorff Distance-based Similarity

$$
\mathrm{H}(A, B)=\max \left(\max _{a \in A} \inf _{b \in B} d(a, b), \max _{b \in B} \inf _{a \in A} d(a, b)\right)
$$

- More robust distance

$$
\hat{\mathrm{H}}(A, B)=\sum_{a \in A} \inf _{b \in B} d(a, b)+\sum_{b \in B} \inf _{a \in A} d(a, b)
$$

- Proposed distance

$$
d\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{H}}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)}{\left|V_{1}\right|+\left|V_{2}\right|}
$$

## Contrastive Loss

Given $D_{W}=d\left(G_{W}\left(g_{1}\right), G_{W}\left(g_{2}\right)\right)$ where $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are graphs and $W$ a set of specific weights $W$, the Loss Function is

$$
I\left(D_{W}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \begin{cases}D_{W}^{2}, & \text { if } Y=1 \text { (positive pair) } \\ \left\{\max \left(0, m-D_{W}\right)\right\}^{2}, & \text { if } Y=0 \text { (negative pair) }\end{cases}
$$

where $m=1$ is the adaptive margin.


## Experimental Validation

Datasets

## Letters

- Classification of Synthetic Graphs
- 15 classes
- 750 graphs per class
- 3 different distortion levels



## George Washington

- Retrieval of Handwritten Words
- Several graph constructions
- 105 keywords
- 4894 instances
- HistoGraph subset for classification
can


## Experimental Setup

Classification

- k-Nearest Neighbor Classifier
- Accuracy + Standard Deviation (5 runs)
- Tested with well-known Aproximated Graph Edit Distance algorithms



## Letters

Classification

Table: Accuracy $\pm$ Std for 5 runs.

|  | LOW | MED | HIGH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BP $^{*}$ | 99.73 | 94.27 | 89.87 |
| HED $^{\dagger}$ | 97.87 | $\mathbf{8 6 . 9 3}$ | 79.2 |
| Embedding $^{\ddagger}$ | 99.80 | 94.90 | 92.90 |
| MPNN | 95.04 | 83.20 | 72.27 |
|  | $\pm 0.7224$ | $\pm 1.2189$ | $\pm 2.0060$ |
| Siamese MPNN | $\mathbf{9 8 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 0 1 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 7 7}$ |
|  | $\pm \mathbf{0 . 1 0 6 8}$ | $\pm \mathbf{0 . 1 8 0 8}$ | $\pm \mathbf{6 . 4 5 0 5}$ |
| Test BP | 98.19 | 88.37 | 79.65 |
|  | $\pm 0.1361$ | $\pm 0.41$ | $\pm 6.4345$ |
| Test HED | 98.00 | 89.79 | 77.07 |
|  | $\pm 0.1461$ | $\pm 0.3110$ | $\pm 5.6106$ |
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## HistoGraph

Classification

Table: Classification accuracy for the HistoGraph dataset.

|  |  |  | Siamese MPNN |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subset | BP $^{*}$ | PSGE $^{\dagger}$ | 3-NN | 5-NN |
| Keypoint | 77.62 | 80.42 | 85.31 <br> $\pm \mathbf{1 . 6 5 5 2}$ | 82.80 <br> $\pm 0.5600$ |
| Projection | 81.82 | 80.42 | $\mathbf{7 3 . 1 5}$ <br> $\pm \mathbf{2 . 6 0 1 4}$ | 69.65 <br> $\pm \mathbf{1 . 5 0 6 4}$ |
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## Experimental Setup

- Mean Average Precision + Standard Deviation (5 runs)

$$
\mathrm{mAP}=\frac{\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathrm{AP}(q)}{Q},
$$



## George Washington

Retrieval

Table: mAP from different approaches on GW dataset.

| Method | mAP |
| :---: | :---: |
| PHOC* | 64.00 |
| BOF HMM ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 80.00 |
| DTW'01 | 42.26 |
| DTW DTW'08 | 63.39 |
| DTW DTW'09 | 64.80 |
| DTW'16 | 68.64 |
| Mean Ensemble BP ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | 69.16 |
| Siamese MPNN | $75.85 \pm 3.64$ |
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## Conclusion and Future Work

## Final thoughts

## Conclusions

- Enriched graph representation, incorporating the local context
- Fast similarity measure based on the Hausdorff Distance
- It emphasises the structure
- Improvements in real applications


## Future Work

- To explore uses of graph structures to model relations among several images (each image encoded as a node)
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